

immana

Innovative Methods and Metrics for
Agriculture and Nutrition Actions

Competitive Research Grants to Develop or Validate Innovative Methods and Metrics for Agriculture and Nutrition Actions

Led by London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (LSHTM)

Full Proposal Frequently asked questions



The London Centre for
Integrative Research
on Agriculture & Health

Funded by:



BILL & MELINDA
GATES foundation

1. What is the impact of COVID-19 on the IMMANA Grants and will there be an extension to the submission deadline?

We recognise that the COVID-19 pandemic is a difficult time for everyone. While we have not formally extended the submission deadline, we are open to addressing extensions on a case by case basis where this is required due to COVID-19. If you would like to request an extension for this reason, please let us know as soon as possible, providing a clear justification, for example, someone working on the proposal is ill or caring for an ill person. We may be able to grant application submission deadline extension of up to one to two weeks.

In addition, we have checked with DFID and Gates who are funding the IMMANA Grants programme and have been advised that they are not aware of any potential budget freezes or cuts being planned. Where needed, we will consider a delay in grants start dates due to COVID-19, if such a need seems to persist towards the second half of the year.

2. What does the “location of research” question refer to, is this question asking about where the data was collected or for secondary analysis, or is it asking where the analysis will be done?

The location of the research refers to where the data will be or has been collected from, whether primary or secondary.

3. In Section 2 of the IMMANA Full Proposal Template, 8 pages are recommended for the research proposal and then there are a lot of boxes for each specific question. We assume we should be inserting texts directly into the boxes, rather than typing 8 pages in a blank word document, correct? Also, can we delete instructions, the 1 of 2 questions in 2.1 that isn't applicable, etc?

Yes, the text should be inserted into the boxes, and not in separate pages. You may delete the question which is not applicable under 2.1, however please do not delete the instructions for any other sections.

4. Regarding the request for a “Letter of support from each institution named in the application form”, is this referring only to sub-grantees or to any organization mentioned? Is this about receiving support letters from those receiving money or hosting activities or even collaborators e.g. members of an Advisory Committee?

Letters of support need to be included for every institution with which you will have a formal collaboration or partnership. So this will include sub-grantees but not necessarily include advisory committee members if they are not part of an institution with which you have a formal collaboration.

5. Section 3.1 eligibility in the guidelines notes that “any changes to approved concept note in terms of scope, objectives, purpose, relevance, and partnership are not allowed”, yet the feedback we received suggested some changes.

The only concept note changes which will be allowed are those which have been identified within your feedback.

6. In the budget template, the tab says “by quarters” but then there are 3 whereas we were expecting there to be 8 – 4 quarters for each year. How should we interpret the 3 columns for “quarters”?

There is an error in the template, this should be “by years”, not “by quarters”. An updated template has been uploaded to the Grants webpage.

7. Does the £250,000 exclude indirect costs?

No. The £250,000 grant limit is the total amount that can be allocated to any one grant. This limit will need to include any indirect costs.

8. Do you support indirect or overhead costs?

Budget guidelines can be found in the Call for Applications. The budget must be in pound sterling (£). Indirect or overhead costs are permitted up to 15% of the total budget.

9. What are the costing guidelines for UK universities? According to the guidance, the costs include estates and overheads. Is the costing at full economic cost (FEC) or 80%?

The IMMANA grants are available to applicants internationally and are not restricted to UK applicants, which is why there is no specific guidance on the costing procedures followed by UK universities. Indirect costs are permitted but the bulk of the costs of the budget should be for direct costs such as salaries and transport. All applications will be reviewed for value for money and this should be a consideration when putting together budgets.

For the purposes of IMMANA, **'Direct costs'** include salaries of directly hired project personnel, travel for project personnel, supplies directly related to the project, sub-contracts, publication costs etc. For IMMANA, **'indirect costs'** include overhead expenses which are incurred *as a result of the project*, for example use of existing shared equipment for the purpose of the project, grant management directly related to the project and project related travel insurance. Overall, we expect these costs to be kept to a minimum and the indirect costs are expected to facilitate effective implementation of the proposed project.

Including indirect costs that are not easily identifiable within the budget and are not directly related to the proposed project is strongly discouraged (examples include administrative, legal, audit, human resources, facility and equipment maintenance, library and IT support and grant management expenses that are related to overall general operations of the organisation). Indirect and estate costs approved may be up to 15% of the proposed budget and will be based on rigorous evaluation for value for money.

10. We've noted that the cap on indirect costs at 15%. Between the partner organizations, do you expect one organization will be the lead and make a sub-award to the second organization, or will awards be administered to each organization separately?

We expect that there will be a lead organisation who will in turn make sub-awards to their collaborators. The lead organisation will treat all of its sub-award's as direct costs, and charge overheads on their total budget. Where applicable, sub-awards should charge no more than 15% of their respective budgets.